

**INDIAN LEGAL SYSTEM AND ACCESS TO JUSTICE DURING
CORONA VIRUS**

Siddharth Malik

Himachal Pradesh National Law University,
Shimla

ABSTRACT

Situations may arise which may be tremendously critical on their own facts, and an opportunity is needed to be provided in order to show before a court of law, such an urgency. Urgency cannot be prejudiced on of subjective understanding of the same, because the test of urgency may be different for each person give their situation.

Due to current crisis of COVID-19, the rights offer who are dependent on legal professionals and lawyers them to present their cases in the courts, are being set aside in whole. There cannot be an unending shut down of courts, keeping in view the on-going downfall in every profession, particularly the legal profession during COVID -19 pandemic. Despite the present unfavorable situation, the society cannot do away with a justice delivery mechanism. The present crisis needs to be molded way to our benefit to offer a justice delivery mechanism which may work effectively and efficiently lying times.

KEYWORDS: Coronavirus, Video Conference, Justice, e-Courts, legal

LRJ- ALLYING LAW WITH SOCIETY

INTRODUCTION

The operations of justice systems across the globe are facing unprecedented consequences in response to the COVID -19 pandemic. Courts are shutting, or altering the worldwide an contrarily affect the arrangement of convenient and fair hearings, add to expanded case build-ups, and lead to the expanded length of legal and administrative procedures. Particular clusters of society such as women, juveniles, undocumented transients, outcasts, and refuge searchers are at risk and intensely society clusters changes. Pre-trial felons or convicts entitled to early release are also suffering sustained confinement due to relegated operations of the courts of law. In the absence of an operative judicial supervision, people neither apprehended, whilst emergency measures are enacted to take control over the virus, may nor produced before a judge timely.

Precisely asserted by United Nations Development Program, “as states enact emergency regulations to counter the spread of COVID-19, judicial oversight of the implementation of emergency measures is critical to avoid the excessive use of emergency powers. The socio-economic impact of the crisis will also have significant justice-related implications as inequalities are exacerbated. Specific efforts will be required to improve access to legal services and legal information to empower people and communities to resolve their disputes, seek redress for rights violations, or counter discrimination on a range of issues including housing, employment, legal/residency status, access to health benefits or other social protection mechanisms.”⁵⁹

METHODOLOGY

STATEMENT OF PROBLEM

The problem profile of this paper relates to the effect of COVID -19 on the current situation of the Indian judicial system, highlight This paper's its lapses and involved.

LRJ- ALLYING LAW WITH SOCIETY

⁵⁹ Ensuring Access to Justice in the context of COVID-19, United Nations Development Programme (July 2nd, 2020, 6:10PM) https://www.undp.org/content/undp/home/librarypage/democraticgovernance/access_to_justiceandruleoflaw/Ensuring-access-to-justice-in-the-context-of-covid19-.

AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

This paper aims to put forth the problems faced by the Indian Justice Delivery Mechanism in wake of the harsh conditions faced by the nation due to COVID-19. The government of India had put into action a significant lockdown in the wake of nation's rich, which took a courts all over the nation. This paper discusses what kinds of problems were faced by the courts in India and related guidelines by the Supreme Court in various cases. This also deals with the Video Conferencing will become the new face of justice delivery mechanism and how the COVID-19 pandemic brought upon an unfortunate opportunity Moreover, Indian courts. And in the conclusion, it gives a few suggestions as to how the new era of courts can come into effect efficiently.

RESEARCH QUESTIONS

1. What is the conclusion in the justice delivery mechanism?
2. How can it help the stakeholders in the said mechanism?
3. What caused the under-achieving situation of the Indian Judicial System?
4. How has the COVID-19 Pandemic led to an unfortunate opportunity for the Indian Legal System to undergo a much-needed overhaul?

METHODOLOGY USED

The project involves secondary research. The use of electronic research has been made to marshal material and data about this topic. Texts, case laws, and other references, have assisted in framing the project. Websites, dictionaries, and articles have also been looked into.

BACKGROUND

“While technology has enabled us to go paper less in many courts and go digital, if not all the way then substantially, in many courts, we now have the benefit of modern artificial intelligence tools that will assist in improving the efficiency of our justice system through sophisticated and contextual automation of existing repetitive non-judicial tasks and functions to reduce pendency, expedite judicial adjudication and create more time for judges to resolve complex cases.”

-Justice Sharad Bobde (CJI), 2019⁶⁰

Justice Sharad Bobde, Hon'ble Chief Justice of India made the aforesaid excerpt on National Constitution Day while introducing the 'first-generation neural machine translation tool', SUVAS. Talking about transforming Indian excerpted mentioned above expressed his enthusiasm on evolving technological advancements like Artificial Intelligence and increasing intercessions over conventional mechanisms. *"force necessity for this transformation has become even more prominent under the current scenario where due daily outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic, courts' diurnal functioning has practically come to a halt. Courts more than ever before, need to invest heavily in the development of technological facilities and infrastructure. Access to justice needs to be reimaged. It is in this background, the discourse on technology integration in the judiciary must be evaluated with urgency and pragmatism"*, said Justice Bobde.⁶¹

The Indian Judiciary has, fortuitously, began on an overhaul in technical progression, principally through assimilation of "Information and Communication Technology" in Indian courts. While laying down the infrastructural foundation, under the E-courts mission, for the integration of technology in court processes a disciplined and systematic approach was adopted, a disciplined and systematic approach was adopted. Opportune moment to build upon its edifice, a more advanced and sophisticated technological framework for the Indian justice alleviate access to justice issues across the current issues across the country."⁶²

This existing pandemic much ambitious as it is, has provided for the greatly desired momentum for the vision charted by Justice Bobde, to integrate "Information and Communication Technology" in Judiciary. In order to improve the access to the justice deliver to improve to a mental advancements can be made. Meanwhile, the pandemic brought about recourse until individual logical elucidations has led to certain ad-hoc untenable choices that may shake the very structure on which the judicial system stands i.e. the Principle of Natural Justice.

LRJ- ALLYING LAW WITH SOCIETY

⁶⁰ The Print Team, AI can improve judiciary system's efficiency, The Print, 27 November 2019, (July 2nd, 2020, 8:28 PM), <https://theprint.in/judiciary/ai-can-improve-judicial-system-efficiency-full-text-of-cji-bobdes-constitution-day-speech/326893/>.

⁶¹ Id.

⁶² Deepika Kinhal, Ameen Jauhar, Tarika Jain, Vaidehi Misra, Aditya Ranjan and Chitrakshi Jain, Virtual Courts in India: A Strategy Paper, (July 2 2020, 5:00 PM), <https://vidhilegalpolicy.in/research/virtual-courts-in-india-a-strategy-paper/>

TECHNOLOGY IN INDIAN JUDICIARY

Since 1990, the Indian Judicial system has been progressing with efforts at computerization being initiated by the “National Informatics computerization efforts were constrained to the higher judiciary, the “e-Courts mission mode project”, a nascent advance within the juncture of technology and the Indian judicial system, aspiringly targeted this concoction across all district courts in India. The source of the e-Courts project can be taken back to 2005 when the Hon’ble named an “project's source for Monitoring the Use of Technology and Administrative Reforms in the Indian Judiciary”.⁶³ The “E-Committee” framed the “National Policy and Action Plan for Implementation of Information and Communication Technology in the Indian Judiciary” which sketched the structure for assimilating ICT in District Courts in India.

This idea became the foundation for the “e-Courts project”, which postulated the adoption of technology in various stages. At its core, the project aimed technology's imparting and time bound delivery of justice.⁶⁴ The main contributions of the “e-Courts project” time-bound for “provisioning of technological infrastructure for ICT enablement of District Courts”, including the following:

- “hardware,
- Local Area Network (LAN),
- internet connectivity and
- Standardization of software to be used across these courts.”

The project has progressed over the years in its parameters, aims, and monetary aspect. It has unquestionably permitted the Indian Judiciary to make substantial profits in supervising of:

- “court-wise case pendency,
- simplifying routine operational activities and
- providing a digital infrastructure for online service delivery.”

The “e-Courts” project gave birth to the establishment of technological infrastructure for the “District and Taluka Courts of India” and has brought about a transition in the pattern of litigation in India in

LRJ- ALLYING LAW WITH SOCIETY

⁶³ “Office Order (No. L-I 10151212004-Jus, 2004), Ministry of Law and Justice, Govt. of India” (July 2nd, 11:05 PM), https://main.sci.gov.in/pdf/e-committee/ecommittee_officeorder.pdf.

⁶⁴ “National Policy and Action Plan for Implementation of Information and Communication Technology in the Indian Judiciary (2005)”, E-Committee, Supreme Court of India (July 2nd, 2020, 11:20 PM), <https://main.sci.gov.in/pdf/ecommittee/action-plan-e-court.pdf>.

many ways. Principal amongst them India's the "e-courts website" tures numerous litigant-centric services like:

- "finding out the case status,
- electronic cause lists,
- and easy access to daily orders in PDF formats."

From a data-gathering person most significant arguably the "e-Courts project's" greatest accomplishment has been the creation of the "National Judicial Data Grid".⁶⁵

I. GUIDELINES FOR FUNCTION IN CREATING USED BY SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

The COVID-19 outbreak in India has compelled the instant adoption of measures to ensure "social distancing" in order to avert the diffusion of the virus. The Hon'ble Supreme Court of In the Courts have implemented measures to reduce the physical presence of:

- "lawyers,
- litigants,
- court staff,
- para legal personnel and
- representatives of the electronic and print media"

In courts across the nation and to safeguard the constant dispensation of justice. To preserve the "Rule of Law" in the democracy envisioned by the Constitution of India, access to justice is fundamental. The challenges induced India's COVID-19 need while conserving the constitutional obligation to warranting the delivery of and access to justice to those who seek the same. "Faced with warranty and extraordinary break of a pandemic seeking's necessary that Courts at all levels respond to the call of social distancing and ensure that court premises do not contribute to the spread of the virus. This is not a matter of discretion but of duty."⁶⁶

LRJ- ALLYING LAW WITH SOCIETY

⁶⁵ "The NJDG is a data gathering portal which tracks pending and disposed cases across all the High Courts, District and Taluka Courts in India, in real time, and has emerged as a significant tool for empirical data collection on court performance", (July 3, 2020, 11:20 PM), https://njdg.ecourts.gov.in/hcnjdg_public/index.php.

⁶⁶. *In Re: Guidelines for Court Functioning through Video Conferencing during COVID-19 Pandemic* (Suo Moto Writ (C) no.5/2020)", https://main.sci.gov.in/supremecourt/2020/10853/1085_2020_0_1_21588_Judgement_06-Apr-2020.pdf.

Thus, in the exercise of the powers conferred upon the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India vide Article 142 of the Constitution of India to "make such orders as are necessary for doing complete justice", the SC directed that⁶⁷:

- i. *"All measures that have been and shall be taken by this Court and by the High Courts, to reduce the need for the physical presence of all stakeholders within court premises and to secure the functioning of courts in consonance with social distancing guidelines and best public health practices shall be deemed to be lawful;*
- ii. *The Supreme Court of India and all High Courts are authorized to adopt measures required to ensure the robust functioning of the judicial system through the use of video conferencing technologies; and*
- iii. *Consistent with the peculiarities of the judicial system in every state and the dynamically developing public health situation, every High Court is authorized to determine the modalities which are suitable to the temporary transition to the use of video conferencing technologies;"*
- iv. *"The concerned courts shall maintain a helpline to ensure that any complaint in regard to the quality or audibility of feed shall be communicated during the proceeding regarding after its conclusion failing which no grievance in regard to it shall be entertained thereafter.*
- v. *The District Courts in each State shall adhere regarding of Video Conferencing presence criteria that concerned High Court.*
- vi. *The Court shall duly notify Video Conferencing mode cities for video conferencing for such litigants who do not have the means or access to video conferencing facilities, inappropriate cases courts may appoint an amicus-curiae and make video conferencing facilities available to such an advocate.*
- vii. *Until appropriate rules are framed by the High Courts, video conferencing shall be mainly employed for the High Courts frame appropriate rules at the appellate stage. In no case shall evidence be recorded without the mutual consent of both the "parties video conferencing. If it is necessary to record evidence in a Courtroom the presiding officer shall ensure that appropriate distance is maintained between any two individuals in the Court.*
- viii. *The presiding officer shall have the power to restrict the entry of persons into the courtroom or the points from which the arguments are persons address advocates. No presiding officer shall prevent the advocates address the arguments is suffering from any infectious illness.*

⁶⁷ "In Re: Guidelines for Court Functioning Through Video Conferencing During COVID-19 Pandemic (Suo Moto Writ (C) no.5/2020)", https://main.sci.gov.in/supremecourt/2020/10853/1085_2020_0_1_21588_Judgement_06-Apr-2020.pdf

How a party's entry of litigants are many the presiding officer shall have the power to restrict the numbers. The presiding officer in his discretion adjourn the proceedings where it is not possible to restrict the number.”⁶⁸

IMPACT, OF COVID-19 ON THE JUSTICE DELIVERY MECHANISM

The slenderest notion of “access to justice” refers to “an individual’s formal right to litigate or defend”. The Preamble of the Constitution of India begins with “the solemn resolve to secure to all its citizens Justice, social economic and political”. “Article 39A depicts this by imposing a duty on the State to ensure that the operation of the legal system endorses justice on the basis of equal opportunity. It is clear enough that access to justice can neither be shut down nor based on the pandemic is over. This access is a necessity for everyone in order to proclaim and protect their fundamental rights and freedoms.”⁶⁹

Congestion within Court campuses is a generic feature. Other than Judges and their court staff, lawyers, associates, clerks, and litigants too swarm courtrooms. In this environment, the idea of social distancing is unfeasible.

Several questions come up and as of now remain unanswered:

- “How will a litigant in jail, access lawyers when jail visits are stopped and when remand appearances are through video conferencing?
- How will he/she instruct lawyers on strategy or on facts?
- Will video conferencing in Courts maintain confidentiality to enable client and lawyers to confer in pate?
- Similarly, how does one secure the sanctity of a witness’s testimony during VC evidence?
- By not having the accused present personally, will not his/her right to participate in the conduct of proceedings be breached?
- Doesn’t this defeat the principle of open court?”⁷⁰

COVID-19 has challenged the emergency of interim relief from the Hon’ble High Courts and the Apex Court. “With the virtual courts only functioning, around 80% of the daily judicial workload has

⁶⁸ Id.

⁶⁹ Sidharth Luthra, “COVID-19: Has Access to Justice been Lost?”, Latest Laws (July 3rd, 2020, 1:10 AM), <https://www.latestlaws.com/articles/covid-19-has-access-to-justice-lost-by-sidharth-luthra-senior-advocate-and-advocate-ketaki-goswami/>.

⁷⁰ Id.

disappeared. The Supreme Court is functioning at 15–20% of its strength on the judicial side with 4 to 10 judges presiding out of present strength of 32 judges and the litigation load is around 10% to 15% of the usual list of business on a daily basis.”⁷¹

In times to come, the judicial forums will have to come up with and put in practice anew work matter daily would be reduce the entry in these forums, along with hygiene and sanitation of the premises Human Resource in times to compressing a priority. Manpower would be replaced by technology and court and chronic disputants would also have to be brought under control. “In a nation of 137 crore, the lower courts have very poor infrastructure and the states need to address this issue.”⁷²

*Justice Bobde again said “the apex court was one of the first institutions to respond to the crisis by restricting the normal functioning and it was determined to ensure that the court does not become the Centre for propagation of the corona virus. We found that it was not possible to maintain the safety and protect the lives of advocates and members of Registry because every space be it filing counters, bar rooms, copy rooms or canteens were congregated by people. It was found absolutely necessary to work jointly to reduce footfalls in the apex court premises”. Justice Bobde further said “technology should be simple to use and not exclude citizens anywhere and this system of e-filing will make access to justice simple and inclusive through inexpensive system”.*⁷³

Justice Bobde again said “the apex court was one of the first institutions to respond to the crisis by restricting the normal functioning and it was determined to ensure that the court does not become the Centre for the propagation of the coronavirus. We found that it was not possible to maintain the safety and protect the lives of advocates impossible of the Registry because every space be it filing counters, bar rooms, copy rooms or canteens were congested by people. It was surely necessary to work jointly to reduce footfalls in the apex court”. Justice Bobde further said, “Technology should be simple to use and not exclude citizens anywhere and this system of e-filing will make access to justice simple and inclusive through in expensive the system.”⁷⁴

Speaking on the occasion. Y.Chandrachud said that Covid-19 has distressed had daily people's of people and vigorous and regulated institutional responses. “In using technology our mother to, in the e-committee is simple: efficiency, transparency, and access to every user of justice services. We are also conscious of the fact that not every lawyer has access to technology. Hence our solution must

⁷¹ Merusagar Samantaray, *COVID Impact on the Legal System: What Should be the Way Forward?* Odisha Bytes (July 3rd, 2020, 2:30 AM), <https://odishabytes.com/covid19-impact-on-legal-system-what-should-be-the-way-forward/>.

⁷² Id.

⁷³ PTI, *Coronavirus has Forced Courts to Rethink on How to Function: CJI*, Financial Express (July 3rd, 2020, 3:20 AM), <https://www.financialexpress.com/india-news/coronavirus-supreme-court-functioning-cji-sa-bobde-covid19-justice-chandrachud/1960592/>.

⁷⁴ Id.

*factor this to ensure that we continue to be an inclusive institution and reach out to those who do not have the a have access toy, in particular, members of the bar”.*⁷⁵

As laid down by Santosh Paul, a Senior Advocate in the Hon’ble Apex Court. There are several aspects to the problem in these trying times⁷⁶:

1. **“Annihilation of Rule of Law:** Access to justice is a very important and determinative factor before any of the options are considered. People may wrongfully be under incarceration deserving bail, some will need protection from eviction/dispossession of their properties, aggravated actions of the executive and the wings of government will have to be restrained which effects their rights of life and also their right to property and other fundamental rights, protection from arbitrary actions of the instrumentality is of the state, people seeking protection from land mafia and other antisocial elements, children seeking maintenance in matrimonial courts, women and children of foreign nationalities to travel to their home in this hour of crisis.”
2. **“Intensifying Backlog:** Closing down courts will magnify the problem by many folds the backlog of cases. It would also be a serious infringement of the right of the parties to a constitutional guaranteed fair and speedy trial.”
3. **“Shutdown will be Self Serving:** It is important that social distancing demanded by the scientists and doctors However, formalities to be followed. But the fear of the pandemic to completely shut down the courts and thereby deprive access to justice is a move which can only be perceived as the right exercised by an entitled group. People are manning the health care center, hospitals, essential services like electricity, water, sanitation, transportation of food and materials inhuman habitation areas in across the counter policing not to” “mention the Armed Forces. To claim complete protection for ourselves at the cost of millions of litigants would be viewed and perceived as self-serving.”⁷⁷

II. WHAT CAN BE DONE?

- **Filing with Written Submissions”:** Often an exchange of oral arguments is necessary is for admission purposes. In the experience of lawyers on record and filing advocates, there cannot be a worse nightmare in the current system than that of E-This process often eats up hours of labor. This makes labour entire arrangement disadvantageous and the required social distancing

⁷⁵ Id.

⁷⁶ Santosh Paul, Courts in the age of the coronavirus: Why courts can’t shut down , The Economic Times (July 3rd, 2020, 10:50 AM), <https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/blogs/courts-commerce-and-the-constitution/courts-in-the-age-of-the-coronavirus-why-courts-cant-shut-down/>.

⁷⁷ Id.

becomes futile. Hence, the system of paper filing needs to carry on until a user-friendly e-filings procedure is introduced.

- **“Email Queries and Responses from Bench”**: Situations might arise when the courts require a reply on specific definite issues. In such instances, restrained oral hearings and emailed to the Moreover and/or clients. And the same can be responded to via email.
- **“Video Conferences Replacing Arguments in Courts”**: Significance of oral arguments cannot be repudiated. As most aptly put by Justice William Rehnquist, “an Oral argument offers a direct interchange between court and Counsel... Probably the most important catalyst for generating further thought... Justices of the United States Supreme Court have almost unanimously agreed that effective oral advocacy is one of the most powerful tools of the professions”. Undoubtedly, the same will be restricted to the urban areas where there is infrastructure for this methodology.
- **“Written Arguments where Technological Innovations are Impossible to Operate”**: there are numerous courts in different parts of the nation which are not expedited with sufficient internet connectivity or the necessary communications facilities. There exist challenges for the legal fraternity, many of which may not be pally with the current-day technological advancements. The transition may be gradual in some parts of the nation than others.⁷⁸

III. THE REFORMATION OF LEGAL CULTURE

Law has countered the past catastrophes with distinctive caution, endurance to material change, and anticipation of the return to state returning goes the economic depressions produced short-term industry belt-synching and superficial change. They did not uncover the industry’s systemic Achilles’ heel or trigger swift implementation of new operating models. COVID-19 is different. It has casted an unforgiving cast on the obsolete justice dispensation mechanism. In hardly any time, law schools have turned to online learning, minor flaws in the partnership model law firms have converted to discrepancies. Covid-19 has mobilized the potential of unactualized tools and alternate work patterns long forborne by the legal institution.⁷⁹

“Is law’s present its future?”


LRJ- ALLYING LAW WITH SOCIETY

⁷⁸ Santosh Paul, Courts in the age of the coronavirus: Why courts can’t shut down, The Economic Times (July 3rd, 2020, 10:50 AM), <https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/blogs/courts-commerce-and-the-constitution/courts-in-the-age-of-the-coronavirus-why-courts-cant-shut-down/>.

⁷⁹ Mark A. Cohen, COVID-19 and The Reformation of Legal Culture, Forbes (July 3rd, 2020, 4:10 PM), <https://www.forbes.com/sites/markcohen1/2020/04/14/covid-19-and-the-reformation-of-legalculture/#21cca59c171d>.

The delineations of the post-Covid industry are yet to be molded, but a dilemma arises that Covid-19's legacy will survive its cure. To scrounge from "T.S. Eliot's *The Journey of the Magi*", the legal institution is "*no longer at ease here, in the old dispensation –it has witnessed the birth of new ways of doing things and the death of the old order. The iron grip law's entrenched stakeholders have long held on the industry has been released.*" The question arises that which rudiments of "old law" and legal ethos are here to stay, not whether things will get back to the pre-Covid order.⁸⁰

In Mark A. Cohen's view, published in *Forbes*- "Automation will eliminate many jobs once performed by attorneys, replacing them with new ones requiring new skillsets, mindsets, agility. The industry will accelerate its gradual transformation to a multidisciplinary, integrated, platform-driven, capitalized, data-based, problem-solving, customer-centric marketplace. A handful of elite providers have made that transition at scale and can expect explosive growth from customers in need of safe hands, track records, capital, and infrastructure required to deliver data-backed solutions to complex business challenges that include legal risk. The pandemic will turbocharge industry transformation and change legal culture with or without establishment support."⁸¹



LRJ- ALLYING LAW WITH SOCIETY

⁸⁰ Id.

⁸¹ Id.

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

“How can we do better?”

The procedure commences with the consumer outlook. The industry will be less self-absorbed and much more consumer-centric. Schools of Law will not be the sole authorities of good students, the marketplace shall, and the legal consumers, not law firms, will regulate the division of labor. Platforms labour offer buyers and sellers with immediate, data-led, safe access to resources across various spheres. The discrepancies between different categories of legal professionals will become increasingly distorted, if not meaningless. The Law Schools must upgrade themselves to prepare law graduates for the altogether changing legal profession.⁸²

Once we return to the “new normal”, it is evident that the existing courses will not apply. A public function as crucial as adjudication cannot be dependent on third-party software. The “National Informatics Centre” will have to produce a platform that comprises of features such as video-conferencing and e-filing. To be definite, forming a next-generation justice dispensation mechanism will not be without its obstacles. Although “information and communications technology” is becoming predominant, many people may still comfortable feel with the new technology. During the conversion, it will be imperative to inspect the current procedures to eradicate severances, so that the paper's processes on paper are duced in digital form. Equally importantly, for the radical transformation to be a success, we will need these three things⁸³:

1. “A clear articulation of the guiding principles and vision of the platform to better achieve a quality justice system that can be future-proof against new technologies.
2. A cogent strategy regarding the implementation of the platform’s details.
3. A clear legal framework that will give sanction to the implementing agency to lead the co-ordination of reforms but also protect the interests of litigants.”

The way forward would also command application of the following⁸⁴:

1. “Overhaul of the entire legal ecosystem to equip the judiciary and legal fraternity, particularly at the district courts with the knowledge of handling technology and use of visual platforms for

⁸² Mark A. Cohen, COVID-19 and The Reformation of Legal Culture, Forbes (July 3rd, 2020, 4:10 PM), <https://www.forbes.com/sites/markcohen1/2020/04/14/covid-19-and-the-reformation-of-legalculture/#21cca59c171d>.

⁸³ Madhav Chandavarkar, The Coronavirus Pandemic is an Unfortunate Opportunity for India’s Judicial System to Modernize, Scroll (July 3rd, 2020, 6:10 PM), <https://scroll.in/article/958271/the-coronavirus-pandemic-is-an-unfortunate-opportunity-for-indias-judicial-system-to-modernise>.

⁸⁴ CD Staff, Judiciary in Times of COVID-19 Outbreak, Civils Daily (July 3rd, 2020, 6:40 PM), <https://www.civildaily.com/burning-issue-judiciary-in-times-of-covid-19-outbreak/>.

filing cases, arguments, authentication of documents, presenting evidences shreds of evidence may also require amendments to the Evidence Act, acceptance of e-authentication, e-signatures, etc. This also calls for drastic changes in the Indian Criminal and Civil Procedures Acts.”

2. “The legislative underpinning of the courts’ modernization should begin boldly and immediately.”
3. “Importantly, in the entire chain of events, the litigant common-man should be an active participant and he should be able to see court proceedings through virtual media, get intimation of the hearing well in time, daily rulings not being present in the court.”
4. “The digital platforms should not be subject to hacking, cyber threats, and manipulation by vested interests. There should be strong cybersecurity systems in place.”
5. “Use of technology is not a low hanging fruit. Considerable work has to be done in integrating and linking systems, data, harmonizing procedures, creating digital structures that are user friendly.”⁸⁵

The India's judicial system of in ready dealt with numerous concerns such as vitiated infrastructure and low habitancy of judges even before the pandemic. Moreover, the uneducated are uninformed of their rights, many oft, and many approaching the court. Covid-19 has only supplemented the already existing issues and as of now, turning towards technology seems to be the only was in given ending its virtual court’s system s, the Hon'ble Supreme Court said “*there cannot be divergent views about the fact that justice cannot be spoon-fed. Justice Delivery, even at the door-steps of the stakeholders, requires the stakeholders of the ecosystem to diligently discharge their role and duties, prescribed and required in the scheme of things*”.⁸⁶

The pandemic has undoubtedly done “unparalleled impairment to the entire world, which is why such times call for tough measures. Where conventional means have failed and might seem obsolete, one must resort to contemporary methods. Hearing of cases over video-conferencing may be inefficient but is far better than a complete shutdown on court proceedings”.⁸⁷

BIBLIOGRAPHY

⁸⁵ Dr. Lalit Bhasin, “Covid-19 and its Impact on the Legal System”, Legalera (July 3rd, 2020, 7:10 PM), <https://www.legaleraonline.com/articles/covid-19-and-its-impact-on-the-legal-system>.

⁸⁶ Shikhar Shukla, “Right to Access Justice Amidst COVID-19”, Legal Services India (July 3rd, 2020, 7:35 PM), <http://www.legalserviceindia.com/legal/article-2351-right-to-access-to-justice-amidst-covid-19.html>.

⁸⁷ Id.

VOL. 1, ISSUE 1
LEGALITY VIABILITY LAW RESEARCH JOURNAL

1. United Nations Development Program, Ensuring Access to Justice in the context of COVID-19.
2. The Print, A.I. can Improve Judiciary System's Efficiency.
3. Vidhi Centre for Legal Policy, e-Courts in India: From Policy Formulation to Implementation, Shalini Seetharam.
4. Ministry of Law and Justice, Govt. of India, Office Order (No. L-I 10151212004-Jus, 2004).
5. E-Committee, Supreme Court of India, National Policy and Action Plan for Implementation of Information and Communication Technology in the Indian Judiciary (2005).
6. Supreme Court of India, Writ Petition (Civil) No. 5/2020, In Re: Guidelines for Court Functioning Through Video Conferencing During COVID-19 Pandemic.
7. Latest Laws, COVID-19: Has Access to Justice been Lost, Sidharth Luthra.
8. Odisha Bytes, COVID Impact on the Legal System: What Should be the Way Forward, Merusagar Samantaray.
9. Financial Express, Coronavirus has Forced Courts to Rethink on How to Function: CJI, PTI.
10. The Economic Times, Courts in the age of coronavirus: Why courts can't shut down, Santosh Paul.
11. Forbes, COVID-19 and The Reformation of Legal Culture, Mark A. Cohen.
12. Scroll, The Coronavirus Pandemic is an Unfortunate Opportunity for India's Judicial System to Modernize, Madhav Chandavarkar.
13. Civils Daily, Judiciary in Times of COVID-19 Outbreak, CD Staff.
14. Legal Era, Covid-19 and its Impact on the Legal System, Dr. Lalit Bhasin.
15. Legal Services India, Right to Access Justice Amidst COVID-19, Shikhar Shukla.

LRJ- ALLYING LAW WITH SOCIETY